



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/282,145		03/31/1999	GERD SCHOENWOLF	P98.2881	8232	
26574	7590	03/18/2002				
SCHIFF H			EXAMINER			
6600 SEAR 233 S WAC	KER DR	_		CORRIELU	CORRIELUS, JEAN M	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2172	-	
				DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/282,145

Applicant(s)

Schoenwolf et al.

Examiner

Jean M. Corrieius

Art Unit 2172

	THE PROPERTY HAVE DESIGNATED THE PROPERTY OF T
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> </ul>	tion.
communication.	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Mar 4</u> ,	, 2002
2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowanc closed in accordance with the practice under	be except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ix parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
•	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5) 🛭 Claim(s) <u>3</u>	is/are allowed.
6) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-13, and 16</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	niner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents had</li> </ol>	ave been received.
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents ha	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the prio</li></ol>	
14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Other:

Art Unit: 2172:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 03/04/02 (paper no.9) in which claims 1 and 3-4 were amended.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 03/04/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. (See examiner's remark section).

# Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed on June 14, 1999 and February 28, 2000 (paper no.3&4 respectively) complies with the provisions of M.E.P.. § 609. It has been placed in the application file. The information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

### **Priority**

4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 19819205.3, filed on 04/29/1998, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Art Unit: 2172:

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the

contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and

invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made

in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-2, 4-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Johnson et al US Patent no.6,301,582.

As to claim 1, Johnson discloses "a database for storing persistent data" as a two level storage

system persistent data (col.2, lines 17-18); "a buffer into which is written all data to be permanently

stored"(as a shared persistent virtual storage (item 190) which includes a virtual storage manager

(item 208); virtual address translator (item 210), wherein said virtual address (201) comprises a

hasher, hash table and a lookaside buffers; page cache (item 212); and pager (item 214) (see fig.2);

"a permanent memory connected to the buffer, the permanent memory having at least two storage

Page 4

Serial Number: 09/282,145:

Art Unit: 2172:

areas in each of which all permanent data from the buffer is stored" as a data storage (206) connected to the shared persistent virtual storage (item 190) having at least two storage area ((Backing store)1 and (Backing store)2) into which the persistent data is alternately written (see fig.2), each storage (Backing store) unit being structured to store a complete permanent configuration for a characteristic (hasher, page cache, pager). The lookaside buffer disclosed by Johnson does not directly connected to the permanent memory (data storage item 206 of fig.6). However, such a data storage is connected to the share persistent virtual storage (item 190 of fig.2), which contains a lookaside buffer. Such

storage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Johnson' system, wherein the lookaside buffer provided therein (see

lookaside buffer is connected to the data storage through the use of the shared persistent virtual

Johnson's fig.2) would directly connected to the data storage. One having ordinary skill in the art

would have been motivated to directly connect the data storage into the lookaside buffer in order to

facilitate faster access.

As to claim 2, Johnson discloses the claimed "wherein the data base further comprises a control mechanism within a first application process for management of a first memory controls writing of the data to be persistently stored into the buffer, the data being generated or modified by the first application process alone or also by other application, processes running simultaneously with the first application" (col.7, lines 10-32).

Art Unit: 2172:

As to claims 4-7, Johnson substantially discloses the invention as claimed, including the recited "wherein all of the persistent data stored in the buffer is alternately written into one of the storage units or storage areas of the permanent memory" (col.2, lines 18-24).

As to claim 8, Johnson discloses the claimed "wherein only the persistent data, if necessary including reconstruction data, is transferred into the buffer from a first memory which contains a runtime program and associated permanent data" (col.2, lines 30-33).

As to claim 9, Johnson discloses the claimed "wherein the persistent data is stored in a space-saving manner as a data sequence in the buffer and in the permanent memory" (col.5, lines 1-4).

As to claims 10-12, Johnson substantially discloses the invention as claimed including the recited "permanent memory is provided for a start program and application software including database management software, with use of which configuration data to be written into the first memory is automatically reconstructed from the persistent data stored in the permanent memory" (col.6, lines 19-27).

As to claim 13, Johnson does not explicitly disclose a loadable Flash Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory chip. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Johnson' system, including a loadable Flash Erasable

Art Unit: 2172:

Programmable Read Only Memory chip. This motivation would have been to allow Johnson's

permanent memory to stay stable for long periods without electricity while still allowing

reprogramming.

As to claim 16, Johnson discloses the claimed "wherein a number of configuration changes

are only performed at a data management side and thereafter at least one of a functional and a

hardware change comprising all configuration changes is performed in the terminal" as a means

wherein Java compiler compiles programs written in Java which is platform independent commands

that can be interpreted and run by JVM, which must be implemented for each platform on which the

Java program must be run (col.7, lines 65-col.8, line 6).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 is allowable over the prior art made of record.

Remark

(A). Applicants asserted that Johnson does not disclose a permanent memory having at least two

storage units, but rather teaches a memory using a file manager and a virtual memory system, the

latter being a temporary memory. The examiner kindly submits that the applicants misread the applied

reference used in the rejection. However, when read and analyzed in light of the specification, the

invention as claimed does not support applicant's assertions. Actually, applicants interpreted the

claims very narrow without considering the broad teaching of the reference stated in the rejection.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2172:

Applicants should duly note that Johnson discloses an analogous system for use to facilitate the creation, storage and interaction of persistent objects. Johnson, in particular, discloses the use of a data storage, wherein said data storage contains two storage units ((backing store)1 and (backing store)2, see Johnson's fig.2) where all the data from the lookaside buffer is stored. The examiner has provided in the office action a convincing line of reasoning as to where in the cited reference the asserted limitation is meet. The evidence (page 4, lines 7-25) provided by the applicants is not convincing to invalid the two storage unit shown by Johnson (See Johnson's fig.2, item 206). Therefore, Applicants' assertions are just mere allegation with no supported fact.

(B). Applicants asserted that Johnson does not teach a permanent memory having at least two storage units into which persistent configuration data is alternately written, but rather teaches a memory moving data into a temporary "memory buffer", and only upon demand of a requesting process. The examiner kindly submits that the applicants misread the applied reference used in the rejection. However, when read and analyzed in light of the specification, the invention as claimed does not support applicant's assertions. Actually, applicants' claimed feature clearly state "a buffer into which is written persistent data to be permanently stored". The persistent data in the instant implication is first stored in a temporary "memory buffer" prior being moved to the memory unit. This aforementioned assertion is invalided because the memory buffer of Johnson as well as the instance application is temporary memory into which written persistent data to be permanently stored. Applicants should duly note that Johnson discloses an analogous system for use to facilitate the

Art Unit: 2172:

creation, storage and interaction of persistent objects. Johnson, in particular, discloses the use of a

data storage, wherein said data storage contains two storage units ((backing store)1 and (backing

store)2, see Johnson's fig.2) where all the data from the lookaside buffer is stored. The examiner

has provided in the office action a convincing line of reasoning as to where in the cited reference the

asserted limitation is meet. The evidence (page 4, lines 7-25) provided by the applicants is not

convincing to invalid the two storage unit shown by Johnson (See Johnson's fig.2, item 206).

Therefore, Applicants' assertions are just mere allegation with no supported fact.

(C). Applicant asserted that the data of Johnson is not alternately written between two storage units.

The examiner kindly submits that the applicants misread the applied reference used in the rejection.

However, when read and analyzed in light of the specification, the invention as claimed does not

support applicant's assertions. The data storage disclosed by Johnson having two memory units

capable of alternately written persistent data as one of them become full. Therefore, the

aforementioned assertion is moot.

Page 8

Page 9

Serial Number: 09/282,145:

Art Unit: 2172:

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy 8.

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or early communication from the Examiner should

directed to Jean M. Corrielus whose telephone number is (703)306-3035. The Examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kim Vu, can be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m. at (703)305-4393.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or: (703)3746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or

Art Unit: 2172:

"DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Jean M. Corrielus

Patent Examiner

March 15, 2002